arXiv:math/0106148v1 [math.NT] 18 Jun 2001

New Approach to Ohno Relation for Multiple Zeta Values

Dedicated to Professor Yoshiyuki Shimizu on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday.

Jun-ichi OKUDA Kimio UENO

1 Introduction.

The multiple zeta values (MZVs) are real values defined by

$$\zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_m)=\sum_{n_1>n_2>\cdots>n_m>0}\frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}n_2^{k_2}\cdots n_m^{k_m}}\quad(k_1,\ldots,k_m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1},k_1\geq 2)\,.$$

The weight and the depth of $\zeta(k_1,\ldots,k_m)$ are $k_1+\cdots+k_m$ and m, respectively.

Recently, MZVs have been studied extensively in number theory [Go, Z], knot theory [LM], mirror symmetry [H3] and perturbative quantum field theory [Kr]. Many relations of MZVs, for example Hoffman's relation [H1], the duality formula [Z], the sum formula [Gr], Le-Murakami's relation [LM] and the cyclic sum formula [HO], have been discovered. In particular, Ohno discovered a systematic relation which contain Hoffman's relation, the duality formula and the sum formula. These relations are important to consider the structure of $\mathcal{Z}$, which is an algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by all the MZVs.

Theorem 1 (Ohno's relation [O]). *Any index* $\mathbf{k}=(k_1,\ldots,k_m)\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^m$, $k_1\geq 2$, *can be written for some* $s\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ *and* $a_i,b_i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\,(i=1,\ldots,s)$ *as*

$$\mathbf{k}=(a_1+1,\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{b_1-1},a_2+1,\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{b_2-1},\ldots,a_s+1,\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{b_s-1}).$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11M06, 40B05; Secondary 16W25, 16W30, 16W50.

Let $\mathbf{k}' = (k'_1, \ldots, k'_{m'})$ *be the dual index for* $\mathbf{k}$ *defined by*

$$\mathbf{k}' = (b_s + 1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{a_s - 1}, b_{n-1} + 1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{a_{n-1} - 1}, \ldots, b_1 + 1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{a_1 - 1}).$$

Then for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$*,*

$$\sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_m \geq 0 \\ \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_m = l}} \zeta(k_1 + \epsilon_1, k_2 + \epsilon_2, \ldots, k_m + \epsilon_m) = \sum_{\substack{\epsilon'_1, \ldots, \epsilon'_{m'} \geq 0 \\ \epsilon'_1 + \cdots + \epsilon'_{m'} = l}} \zeta(k'_1 + \epsilon'_1, k'_2 + \epsilon'_2, \ldots, k'_{m'} + \epsilon'_{m'})$$

Ohno's proof is due to iterated integral expression of the generating functions in $X_1, \ldots, X_s$ for given $l \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{k}$

$$\sum_{\substack{l_i \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \cdots + l_s = l}} \int \cdots \int_{1 > t_1 > \cdots > 0} \prod_{i=1}^{s} \left(\frac{dt}{t} \right)^{a_i} \left(\frac{dt}{t} + X_i \frac{dt}{1-t} \right)^{b_i + l_i - 1} \frac{dt}{1-t}$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{l_i \geq 0 \\ l_1 + \cdots + l_s = l}} \sum_{1 \leq d_i \leq b_i + l_i} S_{\mathbf{k}}(l_1, \ldots, l_s; d_1, \ldots, d_s)\; X_1^{d_1 - 1} \cdots X_s^{d_s - 1}.$$

The coefficient of $X_1^{b_1} \cdots X_s^{b_s}$ is the left hand of Theorem 1.

We consider generating functions of left hand side of Theorem 1 with respect to the increase l of weight

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_m \geq 0 \\ \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_m = l}} \zeta(k_1 + \epsilon_1, k_2 + \epsilon_2, \ldots, k_m + \epsilon_m) \right\} \lambda^l.$$

We shall find functional relations among them. Using these relations, we give a new proof of Ohno's relation.

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we restate Ohno's relation and introduce our generating function via the harmonic algebra. In Section 3, we state and prove the functional relations of generating functions. In Section 4, we prove Ohno's relation.

2 A translation of Ohno's relation.

We explain Ohno's relation in terms of the derivations [Ka1, HO] introduced to the harmonic algebra [H2].

Definition 1. *Let* $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{Q}\langle x, y\rangle$ *be a noncommutative polynomial algebra over* $\mathbb{Q}$ *generated by* x *and* y*. For any monomial* w *in* $\mathfrak{h}$*, weight and depth mean the length of* w *and the number of* y *which appears in* w*, respectively.*

We define a new multiplication $*$ *of* $\mathfrak{h}$ *as follows: For any words* w*,*w_1*,*w_2 $\in \mathfrak{h}$ *and positive integers* $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$*, we set*

(H.1) $1 * w = w * 1 = w$

(H.2) $x^p * w = w * x^p = wx^p$

(H.3) $x^p y w_1 * x^q y w_2 = x^p y(w_1 * x^q y w_2) + x^{(p+q+1)} y(w_1 * w_2) + x^q y(x^p y w_1 * w_2)$

We call the triple $(\mathfrak{h}, +, *)$ *harmonic algebra.*

Hoffman showed the next theorem which relates the harmonic algebra with the algebra $\mathcal{Z}$.

Theorem 2 (Hoffman [H2]). *The harmonic algebra* $(\mathfrak{h}, +, *)$ *is a commutative polynomial algebra of infinite indeterminates, and for a subalgebra* $\mathfrak{h}^0 := \mathbb{Q} + x\,\mathfrak{h}\,y$ *of* $\mathfrak{h}$*, there exists an algebra homomorphism*

$$\widetilde{\zeta} : \mathfrak{h}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}$$

such that

$$\widetilde{\zeta}(x^{k_1} y^{l_1} \dots x^{k_m} y^{l_m}) = \zeta(k_1 + 1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l_1 - 1}, \dots, k_m + 1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l_m - 1}).$$

By virtue of this theorem, relations of MZVs are thought of to be the images of elements in $\ker \widetilde{\zeta}$. In this context, Ohno's relation is restated in terms of the image of certain derivations on $\mathfrak{h}$. To describe these derivations, we introduce some notations.

Definition 2 ([H2, Ka1, HO]). *Let* τ *be an anti-involution on* $\mathfrak{h}$ *defined by*

$$\tau(x) = y, \qquad \tau(y) = x.$$

For for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$*, let* D_n *be a derivation of* $\mathfrak{h}$ *defined by*

$$D_n(x) = 0, \qquad D_n(y) = x^n y.$$

Through the homomorphism $\widetilde{\zeta}$, the action of D_n is regarded as follows:

$$\widetilde{\zeta}\left(D_n(x^{k_1-1} y \cdots x^{k_m-1} y)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_i + n, \dots, k_m).$$

Proposition 3 (Ohno's relation [Ka2, HO]). *Let λ be a parameter. Then in $\mathfrak{h}[[\lambda]]$, a ring of formal power series in λ over $\mathfrak{h}$, we have*

$$\text{coefficients of } \left(\exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_n}{n}\lambda^n\right)-\exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_n}{n}\lambda^n\right)\circ\tau\right)(\mathfrak{h}^0)\subset\ker\widetilde{\zeta}.$$

As $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_n}{n}\lambda^n$ is a derivation on $\mathfrak{h}[[\lambda]]$, $\exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_n}{n}\lambda^n\right)$ is an automorphism on $\mathfrak{h}[[\lambda]]$. So we can easily calculate this version of Ohno's relation. By definition of D_n, $\exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_n}{n}\lambda^n\right)$ sends x and y to x and $(1-x\lambda)^{-1}y$ respectively. Set $L_i := l_1+\cdots+l_i (i\geq 1)$ and $L_0 := 0$ for short, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\exp(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_n}{n}\lambda^n)\right)(x^{k_1}y^{l_1}x^{k_2}y^{l_2}\cdots x^{k_m}y^{l_m})\\
&\quad = x^{k_1}((1-x\lambda)^{-1}y)^{l_1}x^{k_2}((1-x\lambda)^{-1}y)^{l_2}\cdots x^{k_m}((1-x\lambda)^{-1}y)^{l_m}\\
&\quad = x^{k_1}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}x^jy\lambda^j\Big)^{l_1}x^{k_2}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}x^jy\lambda^j\Big)^{l_2}\cdots x^{k_m}\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}x^jy\lambda^j\Big)^{l_m}\\
&\quad = \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{j_{L_m}=0}^{\infty}x^{k_1}\left(x^{j_1}y\lambda^{j_1}\cdots x^{j_{L_1}}y\lambda^{j_{L_1}}\right)\cdot x^{k_2}\left(x^{j_{L_1+1}}y\lambda^{j_{L_1+1}}\cdots x^{j_{L_2}}y\lambda^{j_{L_2}}\right)\\
&\qquad\qquad \cdots x^{k_m}\left(x^{j_{L_{m-1}+1}}y\lambda^{j_{L_{m-1}+1}}\cdots x^{j_{L_m}}y\lambda^{j_{L_m}}\right)\\
&\quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_{L_m}=n}\begin{array}{r}x^{k_1}(x^{j_1}y\cdots x^{j_{L_1}}y)\cdot x^{k_2}(x^{j_{L_1+1}}y\cdots x^{j_{L_2}}y)\\ \cdots x^{k_m}(x^{j_{L_{m-1}+1}}y\cdots x^{j_{L_m}}y)\end{array}\right\}\lambda^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\widetilde{\zeta}\circ\exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_n}{n}\lambda^n\right)\right)(x^{k_1}\underbrace{y\cdots y}_{l_1}\cdots x^{k_m}\underbrace{y\cdots y}_{l_m})\\
&\quad = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Bigg\{\sum_{j_1+\cdots+j_{L_m}=n}\zeta(\underbrace{k_1+1+j_1,1+j_2,\ldots,1+j_{L_1}}_{l_1},\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \ldots,\underbrace{k_m+1+j_{L_{m-1}+1},1+j_{L_{m-1}+2},\ldots,1+j_{L_m}}_{l_m})\Bigg\}\lambda^n
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}} \underbrace{\sum_{j_1=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^{j_1-1}}{n_1^{j_1}}\cdots\sum_{j_{l_1}=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^{j_{l_1}-1}}{n_{l_1}^{j_{l_1}}}}_{l_1} \\
&\qquad \times\cdots\times \frac{1}{n_{L_{m-1}+1}^{k_m}} \underbrace{\sum_{j_{L_{m-1}+1}=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^{j_{L_{m-1}+1}-1}}{n_{L_{m-1}+1}^{j_{L_{m-1}+1}}}\cdots\sum_{j_{L_m}=1}^{\infty}\frac{\lambda^{j_{L_m}-1}}{n_{L_m}^{j_{L_m}}}}_{l_m}
\end{aligned}
\tag{$*$}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}\underbrace{(n_1-\lambda)\cdots(n_{L_1}-\lambda)}_{l_1} n_{L_1+1}^{k_2}\underbrace{(n_{L_1+1}-\lambda)\cdots(n_{L_2}-\lambda)}_{l_2}} \\
&\qquad \times\cdots\times \frac{1}{n_{L_{m-1}+1}^{k_m}\underbrace{(n_{L_{m-1}+1}-\lambda)\cdots(n_{L_m}-\lambda)}_{l_m}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{$**$}
$$

The power series $(*)$ absolutely converges for $|\lambda| < 1$. The series $(**)$ absolutely converges at points except positive integers.

Definition 3. *For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, let us consider a sequence $\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2m}$, $k_1, l_m \geq 1$. We set $L_i = l_1 + \cdots + l_i$ and $K_i = k_m + \cdots + k_i$ and define generating functions of MZVs as follows*:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^{m};\lambda) &:= \left(\widetilde{\zeta}\circ\exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_n}{n}\lambda^n\right)\right)\left(x^{k_1}y^{l_1}\cdots x^{k_m}y^{l_m}\right) \\
&= \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0}\prod_{i=1}^{m}\frac{1}{n_{L_{i-1}+1}^{k_i}\underbrace{(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-\lambda)\cdots(n_{L_i}-\lambda)}_{l_i}}, \\
g(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^{m};\lambda) &:= \left(\widetilde{\zeta}\circ\exp\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{D_n}{n}\lambda^n\right)\right)\left(\tau(x^{k_1}y^{l_1}\cdots x^{k_m}y^{l_m})\right) \\
&= \sum_{0<n_1<\cdots<n_{L_m}}\prod_{i=m}^{1}\frac{1}{n_{K_{i-1}+1}^{l_i}\underbrace{(n_{K_i}-\lambda)\cdots(n_{K_{i-1}+1}-\lambda)}_{k_i}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious from the definition of τ that

$$g(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^{m};\lambda) = f(\{l_i, k_i\}_{i=m}^{1};\lambda).$$

We show that these generating functions have partial-fractions expansion with simple poles at positive integers.

Lemma 4. *The generating function* $f(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda)$ *can be written as follows*:

$$f(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{L_m} \sum_{\substack{n_1 > \cdots > n_{j-1} > n \\ n > n_{j+1} > \cdots > n_{L_m}}} C_n^{n_1 \ldots \overset{\overset{j}{\smile}}{n} \ldots n_{L_m}} \right\} \frac{1}{n - \lambda}$$

where

$$C_{n_j}^{n_1 \ldots n_{L_m}} = \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} n_{L_1+1}^{k_2} \ldots n_{n_{L_{m-1}}+1}^{k_m}} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{(n_i - n_j)}.$$

Proof. The generating function f can be written as follows:

$$f(\{k_i, l_i\}; \lambda) = \sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_{L_m} > 0} \sum_{j=1}^{L_m} \frac{C_{n_j}^{n_1 \ldots n_{L_m}}}{n_j - \lambda}.$$

For the proof, we have to show that it is possible to change the order of the summation. So it is sufficient to prove that for any j

$$\sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_{L_m} > 0} \frac{C_{n_j}^{n_1 \ldots n_{L_m}}}{n_j - \lambda}$$

converges absolutely. It can be proved by virtue of the idea of Mordell [M]. Put $d_i = n_i - n_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, L_m - 1$ and $d_{L_m} = n_{L_m}$. Making use of the inequality

$$d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_{L_m} \geq L_m \sqrt[L_m]{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{L_m}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{C_{n_j}^{n_1 \ldots n_{L_m}}}{n_j - \lambda} \right| &= \left| \left\{ \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \cdots n_{n_{L_{m-1}}+1}^{k_m}} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{(n_i - n_j)} \right\} \frac{1}{n_j - \lambda} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{(d_1 + \cdots + d_{L_m})^{k_1} \cdots (d_{L_{m-1}+1} + \cdots + d_{L_m})^{k_m}} \\
&\qquad \times \left\{ \prod_{i<j} \frac{1}{(d_i + \cdots + d_{j-1})} \prod_{i>j} \frac{1}{(d_j + \cdots + d_{i-1})} \right\} \\
&\qquad \times \frac{1}{|d_j + \cdots + d_{L_m} - \lambda|} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\left(L_m \sqrt[L_m]{d_1 \cdots d_{L_m}}\right)^{k_1}} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{L_m - 1} \frac{1}{d_i} \right\} \frac{1}{|d_j + \cdots + d_{L_m} - \lambda|}
\end{aligned}$$

Let λ be in a compact set which dose not involve positive integers. Then there exists a positive constant A such that

$$\frac{1}{|d_j+\cdots+d_{L_m}-\lambda|}\leq\frac{A}{d_{L_m}}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0}&\left|\frac{C_{n_j}^{n_1\ldots n_{L_m}}}{n_j-\lambda}\right|\\ &\leq A\sum_{d_1,\ldots,d_{L_m}=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\left(L_m\sqrt[L_m]{d_1\ldots d_{L_m}}\right)^{k_1}}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{L_m}\frac{1}{d_i}\right\}\\ &<+\infty.\end{aligned}$$

□

Using f and g, Ohno's relation is restated as follows.

Theorem 5 (Ohno's relation, again). *For any sequence* $\{k_i,l_i\}_{i=1}^m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0}^{2m}$ *with* $k_1,l_m\geq1$,

$$f(\{k_i,l_i\}_{i=1}^m;\lambda)=g(\{k_i,l_i\}_{i=1}^m;\lambda).$$

3 Functional relations of f's.

Theorem 6. *We set* $\lambda':=\lambda-1$ *and* $I:=\{(0,0),(1,0),(0,1)\}$, *then the generating function* f *satisfies the following relations.*

(i) *If* k_1, $l_m\neq1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\{(\delta_i,\epsilon_i)\}_{i=1}^m\in I^m}(-\lambda)^{m-|\delta|-|\epsilon|}f(\{k_i-\delta_i,l_i-\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^m;\lambda)\\ &=\sum_{\delta'_1\in\{0,1\}}\sum_{\{(\delta'_j,\epsilon'_j)\}_{j=2}^m\in I^{m-1}}\sum_{\epsilon'_{m+1}\in\{0,1\}}(-\lambda')^{m-|\delta'|-|\epsilon'|}f(\{k_i-\delta'_i,l_i-\epsilon'_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^m;\lambda').\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If* $k_1=1$, $l_m\neq1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\epsilon_1\in\{0,1\}}\sum_{\{(\delta_i,\epsilon_i)\}_{i=2}^m\in I^{m-1}}(-\lambda)^{m-|\delta|-|\epsilon|}f(\{1,l_1-\epsilon_1\}\cup\{k_i-\delta_i,l_i-\epsilon_i\}_{i=2}^m;\lambda)\\ &=\sum_{\{(\delta'_j,\epsilon'_j)\}_{j=2}^m\in I^{m-1}}\sum_{\epsilon'_{m+1}\in\{0,1\}}(-\lambda')^{m-|\delta'|-|\epsilon'|}\\ &\qquad\times f(\{1,l_1-\epsilon'_2\}\cup\{k_i-\delta'_i,l_i-\epsilon'_{i+1}\}_{i=2}^m;\lambda').\end{aligned}$$

(iii) *If* $k_1 \neq 1$, $l_m = 1$,

$$\sum_{\{(\delta_i,\epsilon_i)\}_{i=1}^{m-1} \in I^{m-1}} \sum_{\delta_m \in \{0,1\}} (-\lambda)^{m-|\delta|-|\epsilon|} f(\{k_i - \delta_i, l_i - \epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^{m-1} \cup \{k_m - \delta_m, 1\}; \lambda)$$
$$= \sum_{\delta'_1 \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{\{(\delta'_j,\epsilon'_j)\}_{j=2}^{m} \in I^{m-1}} (-\lambda')^{m-|\delta'|-|\epsilon'|} \times f(\{k_i - \delta'_i, l_i - \epsilon_{i+1}\}_{i=1}^{m-1} \cup \{k_m - \delta'_m, 1\}; \lambda').$$

(iv) *If* $k_1 = l_m = 1$,

$$\sum_{\epsilon_1 \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{\{(\delta_i,\epsilon_i)\}_{i=2}^{m-1} \in I^{m-2}} \sum_{\delta_m \in \{0,1\}} (-\lambda)^{m-|\delta|-|\epsilon|} f(\{1, l_1 - \epsilon_1, \dots, k_m - \delta_m, 1\}; \lambda)$$
$$= \sum_{\{(\delta'_j,\epsilon'_j)\}_{j=2}^{m} \in I^{m-1}} (-\lambda')^{m-|\delta'|-|\epsilon'|} f(\{1, l_1 - \epsilon'_2, \dots, k_m - \delta'_m, 1\}; \lambda').$$

Here $|\delta^{(\prime)}|$ *(resp.* $|\epsilon^{(\prime)}|$*) is the sum of all* $\delta_i^{(\prime)}$ *(resp.* $\epsilon_i^{(\prime)}$*). The generating function* g *also satisfies the same relations.*

To prove this theorem, we need a lemma.

Definition 4. *For* $k_i, l_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} (i = 1, \dots, m)$, $k_1, l_m \geq 1$ *,we set*

$$[\{(n-a_i)^{k_i}, l_i\}_{i=1}^{m}; \lambda] := \sum_{n_1 > \cdots > n_{L_m} > 0} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{(n_{L_{i-1}+1} - a_i)^{k_i} \underbrace{(n_{L_{i-1}+1} - \lambda) \cdots (n_{L_i} - \lambda)}_{l_i}},$$

where we interpret special cases with $k_i = 0$ *or* $l_i = 0$ *for some* i *as follows*:

$$[\{\dots, l_{i-1}, n^0, l_i, \dots\}; \lambda] = [\{\dots, l_{i-1} + l_i, \dots\}; \lambda],$$
$$[\{\dots, (n-a)^{k_{i-1}}, 0, (n-a)^{k_i}, \dots\}; \lambda] = [\{\dots, (n-a)^{k_{i-1}+k_i}, \dots\}; \lambda].$$

Using this notation, f and g are expressed as follows:

$$\begin{cases} f(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^{m}; \lambda) = [\{n^{k_1}, l_i\}_{i=1}^{m}; \lambda], \\ g(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^{m}; \lambda) = [\{n^{l_1}, k_i\}_{i=m}^{1}; \lambda]. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 7. (i) *If* $m \neq 1$ *or* $l_m \neq 1$

(a) *If* $k_1 \geq 2$,

$$\lambda\,[\{n^{k_1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda] - [\{n^{k_1-1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda] - [\{n^{k_1}, l_1 - 1, \dots\}; \lambda]$$
$$= \lambda'\,[\{(n-1)^{k_1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda] - [\{(n-1)^{k_1-1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda].$$

(b) *If* $k_1 = 1$,

$$\lambda\,[\{n^1, l_1, \dots\};\lambda] - [\{n^1, l_1 - 1, \dots\};\lambda] = \lambda'\,[\{(n-1)^1, l_1, \dots\};\lambda].$$

(ii) *If* $i \neq 1$ *and* $i \neq m$ *or* $i = m$ *and* $l_m \neq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda\,[\{\dots, n^{k_i}, l_i, \dots\};\lambda]\\ &\qquad - [\{\dots, n^{k_i - 1}, l_i, \dots\};\lambda] - [\{\dots, n^{k_i}, l_i - 1, \dots\};\lambda]\\ &= \lambda'\,[\{\dots, l_{i-1}, (n-1)^{k_i}, \dots\};\lambda]\\ &\qquad - [\{\dots, l_{i-1}, (n-1)^{k_i - 1}, \dots\};\lambda] - [\{\dots, l_{i-1} - 1, (n-1)^{k_i}, \dots\};\lambda].\end{aligned}$$

(iii) (c) *If* $l_m = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda\,[\{(n-1)^{k_1}, l_1, (n-1)^{k_2}, l_2, \dots, (n-1)^{k_{m-1}}, l_{m-1}, n^{k_m}, 1\};\lambda]\\ &\qquad - [\{(n-1)^{k_1}, l_1, (n-1)^{k_2}, l_2, \dots, (n-1)^{k_{m-1}}, l_{m-1}, n^{k_m - 1}, 1\};\lambda]\\ &= \lambda'\,[\{n^{k_1}, l_1, n^{k_2}, l_2, \dots, n^{k_m}, 1\};\lambda'] - [\{n^{k_1}, l_1, n^{k_2}, l_2, \dots, n^{k_m - 1}, 1\};\lambda']\\ &\qquad - [\{n^{k_1}, l_1, n^{k_2}, l_2, \dots, l_{m-1} - 1, n^{k_m}, 1\};\lambda'].\end{aligned}$$

(d) *If* $l_m \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}&[\{(n-1)^{k_1}, l_1, (n-1)^{k_2}, l_2, \dots, (n-1)^{k_m}, l_m\};\lambda]\\ &= [\{n^{k_1}, l_1, \dots, n^{k_m}, l_m\};\lambda'] - \frac{1}{\lambda'}[\{n^{k_1}, l_1, \dots, n^{k_m}, l_m - 1\};\lambda'].\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We use the partial-fractions expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\lambda}{n^k(n-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{n^{k-1}(n-\lambda)}\\ &\qquad = \frac{\lambda'}{(n-1)^k(n-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{(n-1)^{k-1}(n-\lambda)} + \left(\frac{1}{(n-1)^k} - \frac{1}{n^k}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{♠}$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{n(n-\lambda)} = \frac{\lambda'}{(n-1)(n-\lambda)} + \left(\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{1}{n}\right). \tag{♡}$$

(i) (a) We set B by

$$\prod_{j=1}^{m} n_{L_{j-1}+1}^{k_j}\underbrace{(n_{L_{j-1}+1} - \lambda)\cdots(n_{L_j} - \lambda)}_{l_j} = n_1^{k_1}(n_1 - \lambda)\,B.$$

Then using (♠) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda\,[\{n^{k_1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda] - [\{n^{k_1-1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda] \\
&\quad = \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{n_1^{k_1}(n_1-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1-1}(n_1-\lambda)} \right\} \frac{1}{B} \\
&\quad = \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \left\{ \frac{\lambda'}{(n_1-1)^{k_1}(n_1-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{(n_1-1)^{k_1-1}(n_1-\lambda)} \right. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{(n_1-1)^{k_1}} - \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}} \right) \right\} \frac{1}{B} \\
&\quad = \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \left\{ \frac{\lambda'}{(n_1-1)^{k_1}(n_1-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{(n_1-1)^{k_1-1}(n_1-\lambda)} \right\} \frac{1}{B} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \sum_{n_2>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \; \sum_{n_1=n_2+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(n_1-1)^{k_1}} - \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}} \right) \frac{1}{B} \\
&\quad = \lambda'\,[\{(n-1)^{k_1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda] - [\{(n-1)^{k_1-1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda] \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + \sum_{n_2>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{n_2^{k_1} B} \\
&\quad = \lambda'\,[\{(n-1)^{k_1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda] - [\{(n-1)^{k_1-1}, l_1, \dots\}; \lambda] \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + [\{n^{k_1}, l_1 - 1, \dots\}; \lambda].
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Using (♡), it can be proved in the same manner as (ia).

(ii) We set A and B by

$$A := \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} n_{L_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} \underbrace{(n_{L_{j-1}+1}-\lambda)\cdots(n_{L_j}-\lambda)}_{l_j},$$

$$B := \left(\prod_{j=i-1}^{m} n_{L_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} \underbrace{(n_{L_{j-1}+1}-\lambda)\cdots(n_{L_j}-\lambda)}_{l_j} \right) \Bigg/ n_{L_{i-1}+1}^{k_i}(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-\lambda).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda[\{\dots, l_{i-1}, n^{k_i}, l_i, \dots\}; \lambda] - [\{\dots, l_{i-1}, n^{k_i-1}, l_i, \dots\}; \lambda] \\
&\quad = \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{\lambda}{A\, n_{L_{i-1}+1}^{k_i}(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-\lambda) B} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{A\, n_{L_{i-1}+1}^{k_i-1}(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-\lambda) B}
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{A}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{n_{L_{i-1}+1}^{k_i}(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-\lambda)} - \frac{1}{n_{L_{i-1}+1}^{k_i-1}(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-\lambda)}\right\}\frac{1}{B} \\
&= \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{A}\left\{\frac{\lambda'}{(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-1)^{k_i}(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-\lambda)}\right. \\
&\quad \left. -\frac{1}{(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-1)^{k_i-1}(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-\lambda)} - \left(\frac{1}{(n_{L_{i-1}+1}-1)^{k_i}} - \frac{1}{n_{L_{i-1}+1}^{k_i}}\right)\right\}\frac{1}{B} \\
&= \lambda'[\ldots, l_{i-1}, (n-1)^{k_i}, l_i, \ldots; \lambda] - [\ldots, l_{i-1}, (n-1)^{k_i-1}, l_i, \ldots; \lambda] \\
&\qquad + \sum_{\substack{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_{i-1}} \\ n_{L_{i-1}}-1>n_{L_{i-1}+2}>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0}} \frac{1}{A}\left(\frac{1}{(n_{L_{i-1}+2})^{k_i}} - \frac{1}{(n_{L_{i-1}}-1)^{k_i}}\right)\frac{1}{B}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We divide the range of sum of the third term into two parts as

$$
\sum_{\substack{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_{i-1}} \\ n_{L_{i-1}}-1>n_{L_{i-1}+2}>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0}} = \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} - \sum_{\substack{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_{i-1}} \\ n_{L_{i-1}+2}=n_{L_{i-1}}-1 \\ n_{L_{i-1}+2}>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0}} .
$$

The later sum is equal to zero because of $n_{L_{i-1}+2} = n_{L_{i-1}} - 1$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda[\{\ldots, l_{i-1}, n^{k_i}, l_i, \ldots\}; \lambda] - [\{\ldots, l_{i-1}, n^{k_i-1}, l_i, \ldots\}; \lambda] \\
&= \lambda'[\{\ldots, l_{i-1}, (n-1)^{k_i}, l_i, \ldots\}; \lambda] - [\{\ldots, l_{i-1}, (n-1)^{k_i-1}, l_i, \ldots\}; \lambda] \\
&\qquad + \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{A}\left\{\frac{1}{(n_{L_{i-1}+2})^{k_i}} - \frac{1}{(n_{L_{i-1}}-1)^{k_i}}\right\}\frac{1}{B} \\
&= \lambda'[\{\ldots, l_{i-1}, (n-1)^{k_i}, l_i, \ldots\}; \lambda] - [\{\ldots, l_{i-1}, (n-1)^{k_i-1}, l_i, \ldots\}; \lambda] \\
&\qquad - [\{\ldots, l_{i-1}-1, (n-1)^{k_i}, l_i, \ldots\}; \lambda] + [\{\ldots, l_{i-1}, n^{k_i}, l_i-1, \ldots\}; \lambda].
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) (c) Repeating shift of $n_i \mapsto n_i + 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\lambda\,[\{(n-1)^{k_1}, l_1, \ldots, (n-1)^{k_{m-1}}, l_{m-1}, n^{k_m}, 1\}; \lambda] \\
&\quad - \lambda\,[\{(n-1)^{k_1}, l_1, \ldots, (n-1)^{k_{m-1}}, l_{m-1}, n^{k_m-1}, 1\}; \lambda] \\
&\quad = -\sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{(n_1-1)^{k_1}\cdots(n_{L_m-1}-\lambda)n_{L_m}^{k_m}} \\
&\quad = -\sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}\geq 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}\cdots(n_{L_m-1}-\lambda')(n_{L_m}+1)^{k_m}} \\
&\qquad \text{(by shift } n_i \longmapsto n_i + 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m-1}\geq n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}\cdots(n_{L_m-1}-\lambda')n_{L_m}^{k_m}} \\
&\quad\ \text{(by shift } n_L+1 \longmapsto n_L\text{)} \\
&= -\sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{n_{L_m}-\lambda'}{n_1^{k_1}\cdots(n_{L_m-1}-\lambda')n_{L_m}^{k_m}(n_{L_m}-\lambda')} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m-1}>0} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}\cdots n_{L_m-1}^{k_m}(n_{L_m-1}-\lambda')} \\
&= \lambda' \left[\{n^{k_1}, l_1, \ldots, l_{m-1}-1, n^{k_m}, 1\}; \lambda'\right] \\
&\qquad - \left[\{n^{k_1}, l_1, \ldots, l_{m-1}, n^{k_m-1}, 1\}; \lambda'\right] \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad - \left[\{n^{k_1}, l_1, \ldots, l_{m-1}-1, n^{k_m}, 1\}; \lambda'\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) Similarly as in the previous cases,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\{(n-1)^{k_i}, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda] \\
&\quad = \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}>0} \frac{1}{(n_1-1)^{k_1}(n_1-\lambda)\ldots(n_{L_m-1}-\lambda)(n_{L_m}-\lambda)} \\
&\quad = \sum_{n_1>\cdots>n_{L_m}\geq 0} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1}(n_1-\lambda')\ldots(n_{L_m-1}-\lambda')(n_{L_m}-\lambda')} \\
&\quad = (n_{L_m}>0 \text{ part}) + (n_{L_m}=0 \text{ part}) \\
&\quad = [\{n^{k_i}, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda'] - \frac{1}{\lambda'}[\{n^{k_i}, l_i\}_{i=1}^{m-1} \cup \{n^{k_m}, l_m-1\}; \lambda'].
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Proof of Theorem 6. (i) Applying Lemma 7 succesively

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text{(LHS)} \\
&= \sum_{\{(\delta_i,\epsilon_i)\}_{i=1}^m \in I^m} (-\lambda)^{m-|\delta|-|\epsilon|} \left[\{n^{k_i-\delta_i}, l_i-\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda\right] \\
&= \sum_{\{(\delta_i,\epsilon_i)\}_{i=2}^m \in I^{m-1}} \sum_{\delta'_1\in\{0,1\}} (-\lambda)^{m-1-|\delta|-|\epsilon|}(-\lambda')^{1-|\delta'|} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \left[\{(n-1)^{k_1-\delta'_1}, l_1, n^{k_2-\delta_2}, l_2-\epsilon_2, \ldots, n^{k_m-\delta_m}, l_m-\epsilon_m\}; \lambda\right] \\
&\qquad\quad \text{(by Lemma 7 (ia))} \\
&= \sum_{\{(\delta_i,\epsilon_i)\}_{i=3}^m \in I^{m-2}} \sum_{\delta'_1\in\{0,1\}} \sum_{(\delta'_2,\epsilon'_2)\in I} (-\lambda)^{m-2-|\delta|-|\epsilon|}(-\lambda')^{2-|\delta'|-|\epsilon'|} \\
&\qquad\quad \times \left[\{(n-1)^{k_1-\delta'_1}, l_1-\epsilon'_2, (n-1)^{k_2-\delta'_2}, l_2, \ldots, n^{k_m-\delta_m}, l_m-\epsilon_m\}; \lambda\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\qquad\qquad \text{(by Lemma 7 (ii))}\\
&= \sum_{\delta'_1 \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{\{(\delta'_j,\epsilon'_j)\}_{j=2}^{m} \in I^{m-1}} (-\lambda')^{m-|\delta'|-|\epsilon'|}\\
&\qquad \times [\{(n-1)^{k_1-\delta'_1}, l_1-\epsilon'_2, (n-1)^{k_2-\delta'_2}, l_2-\delta'_3, \ldots, (n-1)^{k_m-\delta'_m}, l_m\}; \lambda]\\
&\qquad\qquad \text{(by Lemma 7 (ii) } m-2 \text{ times)}\\
&= \sum_{\delta'_1,\epsilon'_{m+1} \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{\{(\delta'_j,\epsilon'_j)\}_{j=2}^{m} \in I^{m-1}} (-\lambda')^{m-|\delta'|-|\epsilon'|}\\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \times [\{n^{k_1-\delta'_1}, l_1-\epsilon'_2, n^{k_2-\delta'_2}, l_2-\delta'_3, \ldots, n^{k_m-\delta'_m}, l_m-\epsilon_{m+1}\}; \lambda']\\
&\qquad\qquad \text{(by Lemma 7 (iiid))}\\
&= \text{(RHS)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Use Lemma 7 (ib), Lemma 7 (ii) $m-1$ times, and Lemma 7 (iiid).

Remaining relations and the relations of g's can be proved quite similarly. □

4 Another proof of Ohno's relation.

First of all, we introduce a partial order of indices $\{k_1, l_1, \ldots, k_m, l_m\} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2m}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\{k_1, l_1, k_2, l_2, \ldots, k_m, l_m\} \leq \{k'_1, l'_1, k'_2, l'_2, \ldots, k'_{m'}, l'_{m'}\}\\
&\quad \overset{\text{def}}{\Longleftrightarrow} \quad \begin{cases} m < m' & \text{or} \\ m = m \quad \text{and} & k'_i - k_i,\ l'_i - l_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } i. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction with respect to this order, we prove Ohno's relation. If the index is minimum i.e. $(1,1)$, it is clear because of $\tau(xy) = xy$.

If the theorem is correct less than $\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m$, applying Theorem 6 to $f(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda)$ and $g(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda)$, we obtain two relations for f and g. Subtracting these two equations, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum \lambda^{m-|\delta|-|\epsilon|} \Big\{ f(\{k_i-\delta_i, l_i-\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda) - g(\{k_i-\delta_i, l_i-\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda) \Big\}\\
&\quad = \sum \lambda'^{m-|\delta'|-|\epsilon'|} \Big\{ f(\{k_i-\delta'_i, l_i-\epsilon'_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda') - g(\{k_i-\delta'_i, l_i-\epsilon'_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda') \Big\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

But the terms whose indices are less than $\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m$ are canceled out by the induction hypothesis. The remaining is

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^m \Big\{ & f(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda) - g(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda) \Big\} \\ &= \lambda'^m \Big\{ f(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda') - g(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda') \Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lambda^m f(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda) - \lambda^m g(\{k_i, l_i\}_{i=1}^m; \lambda)$ is a periodic function in λ with period 1. Furthermore by Lemma 4 it has partial-fractions expansion such as

$$\lambda^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n - \lambda}.$$

So it must be zero. Thus we complete the proof.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their deep gratitude to Professor Masanobu Kaneko and Professor Shigeki Akiyama for their valuable suggestions.

The second author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (12640046) and by Waseda University Grant for Special Research Project (2000A-124).

References

[Go] A. Goncharov, Multiple ζ-values, Galois groups, and geometry of modular varieties, *preprint* (arXiv:math.AG/0005069).

[Gr] A. Granville, A decomposition of Riemann's zeta-function, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 247,Cambridge,1997, 95–101.

[H1] M. E. Hoffman, Multiple hormonic series, *Pacific J. Math.*, **152**(1992), 275–290.

[H2] M. E. Hoffman, The algebra of multiple harmonic series, *J. Algebra*, **194**(1997), 477–495.

[H3] M. E. Hoffman, Periods of mirrors and multiple zeta values, *preprint* (arXiv.org:math.AG/9908065).

[HO] M. E. Hoffman and Y. Ohno, Relations of multiple zeta values and their algebraic expression, *preprint* (arXiv.org:math.QA/0010140).

[Ka1] M. Kaneko, *Multiple Zeta Values and Poly-Bernoulli Numbers* (in Japanese), Tokyo Metropolitan University Seminar Note, 1997.

[Ka2] M. Kaneko, *Lectures on Multiple Zeta Values*, Delivered from University of Tokyo, 2000.

[Kr] D. Kreimer, *Knots and Feynman Diagrams*, Cambridge Lecture Notes in Physics, 13, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[LM] T. Q. T. Le and J. Murakami, Kontsevich's integral for the Homfly polynomial and relations between values of multiple zeta functions, *Topology and its Applications*, **62**(1995), 193–206.

[M] L. J. Mordell, On th evaluation of some multiple series, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2) **33** (1958), 368–371.

[O] Y. Ohno, A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values, *J. Number Th.*, **74**(1999), 39–43.

[Z] D. Zagier, Values of zeta functions and their applications, in ECM volume, *Progr. Math.*, **120**(1994), 497–512.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
School of Science and Engineering,
WASEDA UNIVERSITY
3-4-1, Okubo Shinjuku-ku
Tokyo 169-8555, Japan
E-mail: okuda@gm.math.waseda.ac.jp
uenoki@mn.waseda.ac.jp